arXiv:0904.2281v1 [math.AP] 15 Apr 2009

The Dirichlet problem for non-divergence parabolic equations with discontinuous in time coefficients.

Vladimir Kozlov, Alexander Nazarov

Dedicated to V.A. Solonnikov on the occasion of his 75th jubilee

1 Introduction

In 2001 N.Krylov observed in [3] and [4] that for non-divergence parabolic equations coercive estimates for solutions can be proved even when the leading coefficients are only measurable functions with respect to t. Namely, he considered the equation

$$(\mathcal{L}_0 u)(x,t) \equiv \partial_t u(x,t) - a^{ij}(t) D_i D_j u(x,t) = f(x,t) \tag{1}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, where $D_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ and a^{ij} are measurable real valued functions of t satisfying $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$ and

$$\nu|\xi|^2 \le a^{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \le \nu^{-1}|\xi|^2, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \nu = const > 0. \tag{2}$$

He proved that for $f \in L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ with $1 < p, q < \infty$, where $L_{p,q}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ is the space of functions on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ with finite norm

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\int\limits_{\Omega} |f(x,t)|^p dx \Big)^{q/p} dt \Big)^{1/q}, \tag{3}$$

equation (1) has a unique solution such that $\partial_t u$ and $D_i D_j u$ belong to $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{p,q} + \sum_{ij} \|D_i D_j u\|_{p,q} \le C \|f\|_{p,q}\,. \tag{4}$$

Let us turn to the Dirichlet boundary value problem in the half-space $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > 0\}$. Now equation (1) is satisfied for $x_n > 0$ and $u = 0$ for $x_n = 0$. The following weighted coercive estimate

$$\|x_n^\mu \partial_t u\|_{p,q} + \sum_{ij} \|x_n^\mu D_i D_j u\|_{p,q} \le C \|x_n^\mu f\|_{p,q}\,, \tag{5}$$

was proved in [3], where $1 < p, q < \infty$ and $\mu \in\ (1-1/p, 2-1/p)$. Furthermore from [6] and [5], it follows that the solution of the Dirichlet problem to (1) satisfies estimate (4) for $\mu = 0$ and $p = q$, $p \in\ (1, \infty)$.

One of the main results of this paper is the proof of estimate (5) for solutions of the Dirichlet problem to (1) for arbitrary p and q from $(1, \infty)$ and for μ satisfying

$$-1/p < \mu < 2 - 1/p\,. \tag{6}$$

We also prove analogs of estimates (4) and (5), where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ is replaced by

$$|||f|||_{p,q} = \Big(\int\limits_{\Omega} \Big(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x,t)|^q dt\Big)^{p/q} dx\Big)^{1/p}.$$

These norms and corresponding spaces, which will be denoted by $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\Omega\times\mathbb{R})$, play important role in the theory of quasilinear non-divergence parabolic equation (see [11]).

In Sect. 5 we give some applications of our results to the Dirichlet problem for linear and quasi-linear non-divergence parabolic equations with discontinuous in time coefficients in cylinders $\Omega\times(0,T)$, where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We prove solvability results in weighted $L_{p,q}$ and $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}$ spaces, where the weight is a power of the distance to the boundary of Ω. The smoothness of the boundary is characterized by smoothness of local isomorphisms in neighborhoods of boundary points, which flatten the boundary. In particular, if the boundary is of the class $\mathcal{C}^{1,\delta}$ with $\delta \in [0,1]$, then for solutions to the linear problem (1) in $\Omega\times(0,T)$, where the coefficients a^{ij} may depend on x (namely, $a^{ij} \in C(\Omega \to L^\infty(0,T))$), with zero initial and Dirichlet boundary conditions the following coercive estimate is proved in Theorem 5:

$$\|(\widehat{d}(x))^\mu \partial_t u\|_{p,q} + \sum_{ij} \|(\widehat{d}(x))^\mu D_i D_j u\|_{p,q} \le C \|(\widehat{d}(x))^\mu f\|_{p,q},$$

$$|||(\widehat{d}(x))^\mu \partial_t u|||_{p,q} + \sum_{ij} |||(\widehat{d}(x))^\mu D_i D_j u|||_{p,q} \le C |||(\widehat{d}(x))^\mu f|||_{p,q},$$

where μ, p, q and δ satisfy $1 < p,q < \infty$, $1-\delta-\frac{1}{p} < \mu < 2-\frac{1}{p}$. Here we use the notation $\mathcal{C}^{1,0}$ for boundaries of the class $\mathcal{C}^1$. For $p=q$ and $\delta=0$ this estimated was proved in [7].

In order to prove estimate (5) we use an approach based on the study of the Green function. We obtain point-wise estimates for the Green function for the Dirichlet problem in the half-space and its derivatives, see Sect.3. The main ingredient in the proof is the decomposition of the kernel

$$\frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} D_{x_i} D_{x_j} \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s)$$

into the sum of truncated singular kernel $\chi_{\{x_n>\sqrt{t-s}\}} D_{x_i} D_{x_j} \Gamma(x,y;t,s)$ and the complement kernel, see Sect.4. Here Γ and $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}$ are the Green functions for the whole space and for the half-space respectively. The boundedness of singular operators with truncated kernels in $L_{p,q}$ and $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}$ spaces is proved in Sect.2. Then, using local estimates for solutions to parabolic equations in the half-space, we show that the complement kernels have weak singularities and give estimates of the norms of corresponding operators in $L_{p,q}$ and $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}$ spaces. This leads to the proof of (5) under condition (6). Similar decompositions of the Green function were used by V.A. Solonnikov in [13] and [14].

We shall use the following notation: $x=(x_1,\dots,x_n)=(x',x_n)$ is a point in $\mathbb{R}^n$; $\mathbb{R}^n_+=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : x_n>0\}$ is a half-space;

$$Q_R(x^0,t^0)=\{(x,t) : |x-x^0|<R,\ 0<t^0-t<R^2\}$$

is a cylinder;

$$Q_R^+(x^0,t^0)=\{(x,t) : |x-x^0|<R,\ x_1>0,\ 0<t^0-t<R^2\}.$$

The last notation will be used only for $x^0\in\overline{\mathbb{R}^n_+}$. We adopt the convention regarding summation from 1 to n with respect to repeated indices. We use the letter C to denote various positive constants. To indicate that C depends on some parameter a, we sometimes write C_a.

2 The estimates in the whole space

Let us consider equation (1). Using the Fourier transform with respect to x one can obtain the following representation of solution through the right-hand side:

$$u(x,t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x,y;t,s) f(y,s)\, dy\, ds, \tag{7}$$

where Γ is the Green function of the operator $\mathcal{L}_0$ given by

$$\Gamma(x,y;t,s) = \frac{\det\left(\int_s^t A(\tau)d\tau\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(\left(\int_s^t A(\tau)d\tau\right)^{-1}(x-y),(x-y)\right)}{4}\right)$$

for $t > s$ and 0 otherwise. Here $A(t)$ is the matrix $\{a^{ij}(t)\}_{i,j=1}^{n}$. The above representation implies, in particular, the following estimates for Γ.

Proposition 1. *Let α and β be two arbitrary multi-indices. Then*

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma(x,y;t,s)| \le C\,(t-s)^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \tag{8}$$

and

$$|\partial_t D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma(x,y;t,s)| \le C\,(t-s)^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right), \tag{9}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s < t$. Here σ depends only on the ellipticity constant ν and C may depend on ν, α and β.

In what follows we denote by the same letter the kernel and the corresponding integral operator, i.e.

$$(\mathcal{K}h)(x,t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(x,y;t,s) h(y,s)\, dyds. \tag{10}$$

In order to prove an analog of estimate (4) for $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}$ we need the following lemma. We introduce the kernels $\mathfrak{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) = D_{x_i} D_{x_j} \Gamma(x,y;t,s)$. Thus the notation $\mathfrak{G}_{ij}$ is used both for the kernel and for the corresponding operator defined by (10).

Lemma 1. *Let a function h be supported in the cylinder $|y - y^0| \le \delta$ and satisfy $\int h(y,s)\, dy \equiv 0$ for almost all s. Then*

$$\int\limits_{|x-y^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathfrak{G}_{ij} h)(x,\cdot)\|_q \, dx \le C\, \| h \|_{1,q}, \tag{11}$$

where C does not depend on δ and y^0.

Proof. Due to $\int h(y,s)\,dy \equiv 0$, we have

$$(\mathfrak{G}_{ij}h)(x,t) = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(\mathfrak{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) - \mathfrak{G}_{ij}(x,y^0;t,s)\Big)\, h(y,s)\, dy\, ds.$$

Using estimate (8) for $\nabla_y \mathfrak{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s)$, we obtain

$$\Big|\mathfrak{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) - \mathfrak{G}_{ij}(x,y^0;t,s)\Big| \le \frac{C\delta}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_1|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right)$$

for $|y-y^0| \le \delta$ and $|x-y^0| \ge 2\delta$, where σ_1 is a positive constant depending on σ. Applying this estimate together with the Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathfrak{G}_{ij}h)(x,t)| \;&\le\; C\delta \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_1|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{|h(y,s)|^q\, ds}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\times \left(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_1|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{ds}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} dy. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Using the change of variable $\tau = (t-s)|x-y|^{-2}$ in the last integral over $(-\infty, t)$, we estimate it by $C|x-y|^{-(n+1)}$. Therefore,

$$|(\mathfrak{G}_{ij}h)(x,t)| \le C \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_1|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{|h(y,s)|^q\, ds}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \frac{\delta dy}{|x-y|^{(n+1)/q'}}$$

for $|x-y_0| > 2\delta$. Integrating this estimate and applying Minkowski's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{|x-y^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathfrak{G}h)(x,\cdot)\|_q\,dx &\le C \int\limits_{|x-y^0|>2\delta}\ \int\limits_{|y-y^0|<\delta} \frac{\delta\ dy\ dx}{|x-y|^{\frac{n+1}{q'}}} \\ &\times \Bigg(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big) \frac{|h(y,s)|^q\ ds\ dt}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\le C \int\limits_{|y-y^0|<\delta} \|h(y,\cdot)\|_q\,dy \sup_{|y-y^0|<\delta} \int\limits_{|x-y^0|>2\delta} \frac{\delta\ dx}{|x-y|^{\frac{n+1}{q'}}} \\ &\times \Bigg(\sup_{s\ge 0} \int\limits_{s}^{\infty} \exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma_1|x-y|^2}{t-s}\Big) \frac{dt}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}}\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using again the change of variable $\tau = (t-s)|x-y|^{-2}$ in the last integral, we estimate it by $C|x-y|^{-(n+1)}$, and hence

$$\int\limits_{|x-y^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathfrak{G}_{ij}h)(x,\cdot)\|_q\,dt \le C\,\|h\|_{1,q} \sup_{|y-y^0|<\delta} \int\limits_{|x-y^0|>2\delta} \frac{\delta\ dx}{|x-y|^{n+1}} \le C\,\|h\|_{1,q},$$

which coincides with (11). $\square$

Theorem 1. *Let* $p,q \in (1,\infty)$ *and* $f \in \widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$. *Then the solution of equation* (1) *given by* (7) *satisfies*

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{p,q} + \sum_{ij} \|D_iD_ju\|_{p,q} \le C\ \|f\|_{p,q}, \tag{13}$$

where C *depends only on* ν, p, q.

Proof. From (4) it follows boundedness of $\mathfrak{G}_{ij}$ in $L_q(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$, $1<q<\infty$, which implies the first condition in [2, Theorem 3.8] with $p=r=q$. Lemma 1 is equivalent to the second condition in this theorem with $p=q$. Therefore, we can apply Theorem 3.8 [2] to the operator $\mathfrak{G}_{ij}$ and it ensures that this operator is bounded in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ for any $p\in(1,q)$. For $p>q$ its boundedness follows from the boundedness of the adjoint operator in $\widetilde{L}_{p',q'}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ which is proved by verbatim repetition of previous arguments.

Thus, we obtain the estimate of the second term in (13). The estimate of the first term follows now from (1). $\square$

In Section 4 we need the following estimate for the operator corresponding to the truncated kernels

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}(x,y;t,s)=\chi_{\{x_n>\sqrt{t-s}\}}\,D_{x_i}D_{x_j}\Gamma(x,y;t,s),$$

where χ stands for the indicator function.

Theorem 2. *Let $p,q\in(1,\infty)$, and let $j\neq n$. Then the integral operator $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}$ is bounded both in $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ and $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ spaces.*

Proof. Step 1. *Boundedness in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$.* Since the boundedness of the operators $\mathfrak{G}_{ij}$ and $\chi_{\{x_n>0\}}\,\mathfrak{G}_{ij}$ in L_2 follows from (4), it suffices to show that the operator with kernel

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}(x,y;t,s)=\chi_{\{x_n\in(0,\sqrt{t-s})\}}\,D_{x_i}D_{x_j}\Gamma(x,y;t,s)$$

is bounded.

The Fourier transform with respect to x gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}h)(\xi',\eta_n,t) &= \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t}\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}\exp\Big(-\Big(\big(\textstyle\int_s^t A(\tau)d\tau\big)\xi,\xi\Big)\Big)\,\xi_i\xi_j\,(\mathcal{F}h)(\xi,s)\\ &\times\ \frac{\exp(i\sqrt{t-s}(\eta_n-\xi_n))-1}{\eta_n-\xi_n}\,d\xi_n ds.\end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption $j\neq n$ and (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}h)(\xi',\eta_n,t)| &\le \int\limits_0^\infty\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}\exp\big(-\nu|\xi|^2 s\big)\,|\xi|\,|\xi'|\\ &\times\ |(\mathcal{F}h)(\xi,t-s)|\ \phi\big(\sqrt{s}(\eta_n-\xi_n)\big)\sqrt{s}\,d\xi_n ds,\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(\tau)=\big|\tau^{-1}(\exp(i\tau)-1)\big|$. By the Hölder inequality,

$$|\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}h)(\xi',\eta_n,t)|^2\le\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}|\xi'|^2\int\limits_0^\infty\exp\big(-\nu|\xi|^2 s\big)\sqrt{s}\,ds\,d\xi_n$$

$$\times\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}}\int\limits_0^\infty|(\mathcal{F}h)(\xi,t-s)|^2|\xi|^2\exp\big(-\nu|\xi|^2 s\big)\phi^2(\sqrt{s}(\eta_n-\xi_n))\sqrt{s}\,dsd\xi_n.$$

First two integrals give a constant. Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}h)(\xi',\eta_n,t)|^2 d\eta_n dt \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^2(\sqrt{s}(\eta_n-\xi_n))\sqrt{s}\, d\eta_n \times$$

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}\,\mathbb{R}} |(\mathcal{F}h)(\xi,\tau)|^2 d\tau \int_0^\infty |\xi|^2 \exp\big(-\nu|\xi|^2 s\big) ds d\xi_n \le C\iint_{\mathbb{R}\,\mathbb{R}} |(\mathcal{F}h)(\xi,\tau)|^2 d\tau d\xi_n.$$

We integrate this inequality with respect to ξ', and the statement follows by the Plancherel theorem.

Step 2. *Boundedness in* $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$. For a function h supported in the cylinder $Q_\delta(y^0,s^0)$ and satisfying $\int h(y,s)\,dyds=0$ the following inequality is valid:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus Q_{2\delta}(y^0,s^0)} |(\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}h)(x,t)|\;dxdt \le C\,\|h\|_1, \tag{14}$$

where C does not depend on δ, y^0 and s^0. Since the proof of this inequality repeats, with some simplifications, the proof of estimate (15) below, we confine ourselves to proving (15). By [15, Theorem 3 and §5.3], the estimate (14) and Step 1 provide the boundedness of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}$ in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$ for $1<p<2$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, the boundedness for $2<p<\infty$ is proved by duality argument.

Step 3. *Boundedness in* $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})$. Next, let us show that for a function h supported in the layer $|s-s^0|\le\delta$ and satisfying $\int h(y,s)\,ds\equiv 0$ for almost all y,

$$\int_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\;dt \le C\,\|h\|_{p,1}, \tag{15}$$

where C does not depend on δ and s^0. Since $(\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}h)(x,t)=0$ for $s>t+2\delta$, the integral in (15) is actually taken over $t>s_0+2\delta$. By $\int h(y,s)\,ds\equiv 0$, we have

$$(\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}h)(x,t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\Big(\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}(x,y,t,s)-\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}(x,y,t,s^0)\Big)\,h(y,s)\;dyds. \tag{16}$$

For $|s-s^0|<\delta$ and $t-s^0>2\delta$, inequalities (8) and (9) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}(x,y,t,s)-\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}(x,y,t,s^0)\right| \le \int\limits_{s^0}^{s} |\partial_\tau \mathfrak{G}_{ij}(x,y,t,\tau)|\,d\tau\\
&+|\mathfrak{G}_{ij}(x,y,t,t-x_n^2)|\,\chi_{x_n^2\in[t-s,t-s^0]} \le \frac{C\delta}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+4}{2}}}\,\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right)\\
&+\frac{C\chi_{x_n^2\in[t-s,t-s^0]}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}\,\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) =: \mathcal{I}_1(x,y,t,s)+\mathcal{I}_2(x,y,t,s).
\end{aligned}$$

Using this in estimating of the right-hand side in (16), we obtain

$$\int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\,dt \le \int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathcal{I}_1 h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\,dt + \int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathcal{I}_2 h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\,dt.$$

The first term is estimated by $\|h\|_{p,1}$ in the same way as (12). Let us estimate the second term. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\mathcal{I}_2 h)(x,t)| \;&\le\; C\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{\chi_{\{x_n^2\in(t-s,t-s^0)\}}\;ds}{t-s}\left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right)\frac{dy}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\\
&\times\;\left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right)\frac{|h(y,s)|^p\;dy}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

The last integral is bounded uniformly with respect to x, t and s. Since $|s-s^0|<\delta$, we have $[t-s,t-s^0]\subset[t-s^0-\delta,t-s^0+\delta]$. Using the Minkowski inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathcal{I}_2 h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\;dt \;&\le\; C\int\limits_{s^0-\delta}^{s^0+\delta} \|h(\cdot,s)\|_p\;ds \int\limits_{s^0+2\delta}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t-s}\\
&\times\;\left(\sup_y \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\int\limits_{\sqrt{t-s^0-\delta}}^{\sqrt{t-s^0+\delta}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right)\frac{dx'dx_n}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by I_2 the integral in the last large brackets. Using the change of variables $x = z\sqrt{t-s}$, $y = w\sqrt{t-s}$ and integrating w.r.t. z', we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= C \int\limits_{\sqrt{\frac{t-s^0-\delta}{t-s}}}^{\sqrt{\frac{t-s^0+\delta}{t-s}}} \exp\left(-\sigma|z_n - w_n|^2\right)\, dz_n \\ &\le C\left(\sqrt{\tfrac{t-s^0+\delta}{t-s}} - \sqrt{\tfrac{t-s^0-\delta}{t-s}}\ \right) \le C\,\frac{\delta}{t-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathcal{I}_2 h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\, dt \le C\,\|h\|_{p,1} \sup_{|s-s^0|<\delta} \int\limits_{s^0+2\delta}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{1/p}dt}{(t-s)^{1+1/p}} \le C\,\|h\|_{p,1}.$$

By [2, Theorem 3.8], the estimate (15) and Step 2 provide the boundedness of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}$ in $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ for $q \in (1,p)$. Using duality argument, we obtain boundedness for $q \in (p,\infty)$.

Step 4. *Boundedness in* $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$. For a function h supported in the cylinder $|y - y^0| \le \delta$ and satisfying $\int h(y,s)\, dy \equiv 0$, the following inequality

$$\int\limits_{|x-y^0|>2\delta} \|(\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij} h)(x,\cdot)\|_q\, dx \le C\, \|\!|h|\!\|_{1,q}, \tag{17}$$

holds, where C does not depend on δ and y^0. The proof of (17) repeats literally the proof of Lemma 1. By [2, Theorem 3.8], the estimate (17) and Step 2 provide boundedness of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{ij}$ in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ for $p \in (1,q)$. The boundedness for $p \in (q,\infty)$ follows by duality argument. $\square$

3 The Green function in a half-space

We denote by $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y,t,s)$ the Green function of the operator $\mathcal{L}_0$ in the half-space $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ subject to the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on the boundary $x_n = 0$. From the maximum principle it follows that $0 \le \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y,t,s) \le \Gamma(x,y,t,s)$ and hence by (8)

$$|\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y,t,s)| \le C\,(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right). \tag{18}$$

The aim of this section is to prove point-wise estimates for derivatives of $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}$.

We need a well-known local gradient estimate for solutions to parabolic equations in a half-space. The next statement can be found (up to scaling) in Ch. III, Sect. 11 and 12 in [8].

Proposition 2. (i) *Let* $u \in W_2^{2,1}(Q_R(x^0,t^0))$ *solve the equation* $\mathcal{L}_0 u = 0$ *in* $Q_R(x^0,t^0)$. *Then*

$$|Du| \le \frac{C}{R} \sup_{Q_R(x^0,t^0)} u \qquad in \quad Q_{R/2}(x^0,t^0).$$

(ii) *Let* $u \in W_2^{2,1}(Q_R^+(x^0,t^0))$ *solve the equation* $\mathcal{L}_0 u = 0$ *in* $Q_R^+(x^0,t^0)$ *and let* $u|_{x_n=0} = 0$. *Then*

$$|Du| \le \frac{C}{R} \sup_{Q_R^+(x^0,t^0)} u \qquad in \quad Q_{R/2}^+(x^0,t^0).$$

Here C *depends only on* ν.

Iterating the above inequalities we arrive at

Lemma 2. (i) *Let* $u \in W_2^{2,1}(Q_R(x^0,t^0))$ *solve the equation* $\mathcal{L}_0 u = 0$ *in* $Q_R(x^0,t^0)$. *Then*

$$|D^\alpha u| \le \frac{C}{R^{|\alpha|}} \sup_{Q_R(x^0,t^0)} u \qquad in \quad Q_{R/2^{|\alpha|}}(x^0,t^0).$$

(ii) *Let* $u \in W_2^{2,1}(Q_R^+(x^0,t^0))$ *solve the equation* $\mathcal{L}_0 u = 0$ *in* $Q_R^+(x^0,t^0)$ *and let* $u|_{x_n=0} = 0$. *If* $\alpha_1 \le 1$ *then*

$$|D^\alpha u| \le \frac{C}{R^{|\alpha|}} \sup_{Q_R^+(x^0,t^0)} u \qquad in \quad Q_{R/2^{|\alpha|}}^+(x^0,t^0).$$

Here C *depends only on* ν *and* α.

In the next lemma we give local estimates of the normal derivatives.

Lemma 3. *Let* $u \in W_2^{2,1}(Q_R^+(x^0,t^0))$ *solve the equation* $\mathcal{L}_0 u = 0$ *in* $Q_R^+(x^0,t^0)$ *and let* $u|_{x_n=0} = 0$. *Then for* $k \ge 2$ *and arbitrary small* $\varepsilon > 0$ *the following inequality*

$$x_n^{k-2+\varepsilon} |D_{x_n}^k u| \le \frac{C}{R^{2-\varepsilon}} \sup_{Q_R^+(x^0,t^0)} u \qquad in \quad Q_{R/8^{|\alpha|}}^+(x^0,t^0) \tag{19}$$

holds, where positive constant C *depends on* ν, k *and* ε.

Proof. If $R/4 \le x_n^0$ then (19) follows from Lemma 2(i). Suppose $R/4 > x_n^0$. Let us prove first that for every $\alpha \in]0,1[$

$$\sup_{Q^+_{R/4}(x^0,t^0)} \frac{|Du(x,t) - Du(y,s)|}{|x-y|^\alpha + |t-s|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \le CR^{-1-\alpha} \sup_{Q^+_R(x^0,t^0)} |u|. \tag{20}$$

Let $\eta = \eta(x,t)$ be a smooth function which is equal to 1 for $|t| \le 1/16$, $|x| \le 1/4$ and equal to 0 for $t \ge 1/4$, $|x| \ge 1/2$. We put $\eta_R(x,t) = \eta((t - t^0)/R^2, (x-x^0)/R)$. We write the equation $\mathcal{L}_0 u = 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\eta_R u) \quad &- \quad a^{nn}\Delta(\eta_R u) \\ &= \quad \eta_R \widetilde{a}^{ij} D_i D_j u + (\partial_t \eta_R) u - a^{nn}(u\,\Delta\eta_R + 2D_j\eta_R\,D_j u), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $\widetilde{a}^{ij}(t) = a^{ij}(t) - a^{nn}(t)\delta^{ij}$. We note that for the operator $\partial_t - a^{nn}\Delta$ with zero Dirichlet boundary condition estimate (13) is also valid since by using the odd extension of solution and the right-hand side we can reduce the Dirichlet problem in the half-space to the problem for odd functions in the whole space. Therefore, applying estimate (13) with $q = p$ to equation (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t u\|_{L^p(Q^+_{R/4}(x^0,t^0))} \quad &+ \quad \|D^2 u\|_{L^p(Q^+_{R/4}(x^0,t^0))} \le C\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|D_j Du\|_{L^p(Q^+_{R/2}(x^0,t^0))} \\ &+ \quad R^{-1}\|Du\|_{L^p(Q^+_{R/2}(x^0,t^0))} + R^{-2}\|u\|_{L^p(Q^+_{R/2}(x^0,t^0))}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma 2(ii) for estimating the terms in the right-hand side we arrive at

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{L^p(Q^+_{R/4}(x^0,t^0))} + \|D^2 u\|_{L^p(Q_{R/4}(x^0,t^0))} \le C\,R^{\frac{n+2-2p}{p}} \sup_{Q_R(x^0,t^0)} |u|. \tag{22}$$

Next, we use the following Morrey-type inequality (see [8, Ch.2, Lemma 3.3])

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{Q_{R/4}(x^0,t^0)} \frac{|Du(x,t) - Du(y,s)|}{|x-y|^\gamma + |t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} &\le \\ &\le CR^{1-\gamma-\frac{n+2}{p}} \Big(\|D^2 u\|_{L^p(Q^+_{R/4}(x^0,t^0))} + R^{-2}\|u\|_{L^p(Q^+_{R/4}(x^0,t^0))}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

which is valid for $p > (n+2)/(1-\gamma)$. Estimating the right-hand side here by (22), we obtain (20).

Now we are in position to complete the proof of inequality (19). We start with the estimate

$$\rho^{-1+k-\gamma} \sup_{Q_{\rho_1}(x^1,t^1)} |D^k_{x_n} u| \le C \sup_{Q_{2\rho}(x^1,t^1)} \frac{|Du(x,t) - Du(y,s)|}{|x-y|^\gamma + |t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}},$$

(here $(x^1, t^1) \in Q^+_{R/8^{|\alpha|}}(x^0, t^0)$, $\rho_1 = \rho/2^{k-1}$ and $\rho = x^1_n/2$) which follows from Lemma 2(i). Since $Q_{2\rho}(x^1, t^1) \subset Q^+_{R/4}(x^0, t^0)$, we obtain from the last inequality that

$$\rho^{-1+k-\gamma} \sup_{Q_{\rho_1}(x^1,t^1)} |D^k_{x_n} u| \le C \sup_{Q^+_{R/4}(x^0,t^0)} \frac{|Du(x,t) - Du(y,s)|}{|x-y|^\gamma + |t-s|^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}}.$$

This together with (20) leads to (19) with $\varepsilon = 1 - \gamma$. $\square$

Combining Lemmas 2 and 3, we arrive at

Corollary 1. *Let u satisfy the assumptions of* Lemma 3. *If $\alpha_1 \ge 2$ then for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$x_n^{\alpha_1 - 2 + \varepsilon} |D^\alpha u| \le \frac{C}{R^{|\alpha| - \alpha_1 + 2 - \varepsilon}} \sup_{Q^+_R(x^0,t^0)} u \qquad in \quad Q^+_{R/8^{|\alpha|}}(x^0, t^0), \tag{23}$$

where C depends on ν, α and ε.

Now let us turn to estimating of derivatives of the Green function.

Lemma 4. *The following estimate for the Green function is valid for $s < t$:*

$$|D^\alpha_x D^\beta_y \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y; t; s)| \le C\,(t-s)^{-\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right), \tag{24}$$

where the positive constant σ depends only on the ellipticity constant ν and C may depend on ν, α and β, provided one of the following four conditions is fulfilled:

(i) *α and β are arbitrary, and $x_n \ge \sqrt{(t-s)/8}$, $y_n \ge \sqrt{(t-s)/8}$;*
(ii) *α and β satisfy $\alpha_1 \le 1$ and $\beta_1 \le 1$ respectively and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$;*
(iii) *β is arbitrary, α satisfies $\alpha_1 \le 1$ and $y_n \ge \sqrt{(t-s)/8}$;*
(iv) *α is arbitrary, β satisfies $\beta_1 \le 1$ and $x_n \ge \sqrt{(t-s)/8}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the estimate for $s = 0$.

Let $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 0$. Then estimate (24) is a consequence of estimate (18).

(i) Let $\beta = 0$. First, we suppose that $x_n \geq 1/2$. Using Lemma 2(i) and estimate (24) for $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 0$, we obtain

$$|D_x^\alpha \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y, 1, 0)| \leq C \sup_{Q_{1/2}(x,1)} |\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(\cdot, y, \cdot, 0)| \leq C \exp(-\sigma|x - y|^2). \qquad (25)$$

Now, estimate (24) for $x_n \geq \sqrt{t/8}$ follows by homogeneity.

Since the Green function is symmetric, we obtain also estimate (24) in the case $\alpha = 0$ and β is arbitrary.

To prove (24) in general case, we consider the function $G_\beta(x, y, t) = D_y^\beta \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y, t, 0)$. Reasoning as above we arrive at estimate (25) with $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}$ replaced by G_β. Certainly at the last step we must use (24) with $\alpha = 0$ which is already proved. So, the case (i) is completed.

(ii) Let first $\beta = 0$. By homogeneity it suffices to prove (24) for $t = 1$. Using Lemma 2(ii) and estimate (24) for $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 0$, we obtain estimate (25), which implies (24) for $\beta = 0$. Since the Green function is symmetric with respect to x and y, we obtain also estimate (24) for $\alpha = 0$. In order to handle the general case we apply Lemma 2(ii) to the function $D_y^\beta \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y, t, 0)$ and using estimate (24) for $\alpha = 0$, we obtain (25) with $\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}$ replaced by $D_y^\beta \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}$. By homogeneity of the Green function we arrive at (24).

The cases (iii) and (iv) are considered similarly. □

Below we use the notations

$$\mathcal{R}_x = \frac{x_n}{x_n + \sqrt{t - s}}, \qquad \mathcal{R}_y = \frac{y_n}{y_n + \sqrt{t - s}}.$$

Theorem 3. *For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $s < t$ the following estimate is valid:*

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y; t; s)| \leq C \, \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{2-\alpha_1-\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_y^{2-\beta_1-\varepsilon}}{(t - s)^{\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x - y|^2}{t - s}\right), \qquad (26)$$

where σ is the same as in Lemma 4*, ε is an arbitrary small positive number and C may depend on ν, α, β and ε. If $\alpha_1 \leq 1$ (or $\beta_1 \leq 1$) then $2 - \alpha_1 - \varepsilon$ ($2 - \beta_1 - \varepsilon$) must be replaced by $1 - \alpha_1$ ($1 - \beta_1$) respectively in the corresponding exponents.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the estimate for $s = 0$.

First let us prove the estimate

$$|D_x^\alpha \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y; t; 0)| \le C \, \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{2-\alpha_1-\varepsilon}}{t^{\frac{n+|\alpha|}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t}\right) \tag{27}$$

for $\alpha_1 \ge 2$ and

$$|D_x^\alpha \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y; t; 0)| \le C \, \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{1-\alpha_1}}{t^{\frac{n+|\alpha|}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t}\right) \tag{28}$$

for $\alpha_1 \le 1$.

Consider the case $\alpha_1 \ge 2$. If $x_n \le 1/2$ then using estimate (23) we obtain

$$|D_x^\alpha \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y; 1, 0)| \le C x_n^{2-\alpha_1-\varepsilon} \sup_{Q^+_{1/2}(x,1)} |\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(\cdot, y; \cdot, 0)| \le$$

$$\le C x_n^{2-\alpha_1-\varepsilon} \exp(-\sigma|x-y|^2),$$

which implies (27) for $x_n \le \sqrt{t/8}$ by homogeneity. If $x_n > \sqrt{t/8}$ estimate (27) follows from Lemma 4(iv). If $\alpha_1 = 1$ estimate (28) follows from Lemma 4(ii). It remains to consider the case $\alpha_1 = 0$. If $x_n \ge \sqrt{t/8}$ then estimate (28) follows from Lemma 4(ii). Let $x_n < \sqrt{1/8}$. Then

$$|\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y; 1, 0)| = |\int_0^{x_n} D_\tau \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x', \tau, y; 1, 0) d\tau|$$

$$\le C \int_0^{x_n} \exp(-\sigma|\tau - y_n|^2) d\tau \exp(-\sigma|x' - y'|^2) \le C x_n \exp(-\sigma|x-y|^2),$$

where we applied estimate (28) with $\alpha_1 = 1$. Using again the homogeneity argument, we arrive at (28) for $\alpha_1 = 0$.

Reference to the symmetry of the Green function implies (26) for $\alpha = 0$ from (27) and (28). Now repeating the proof of Lemma 4 but using inequality (26) with $\alpha = 0$ instead of (24) with $\alpha = 0$ we arrive at the estimate

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x, y; t, s)| \le C \, \frac{\mathcal{R}_y^{2-\beta_1-\varepsilon}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+|\alpha|+|\beta|}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \tag{29}$$

in the cases (i) $\alpha_1 \le 1$ and (ii) α is arbitrary and $x_n \ge \sqrt{t/8}$. Moreover, $2 - \beta_1 - \varepsilon$ must be replaced by $1 - \beta_1$ when $\beta_1 \le 1$.

Finally, repeating the proof of estimates (27) and (28) but using inequality (29) instead of (24) we arrive at (26). □

4 The weighted estimates in a half-space

The main result of this section, which is equivalent to estimate (5) and an analogous estimate for the $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}$-norms, is Theorem 4. We precede it by the following three lemmas which constitute main steps in its proof.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $s < t$ and $x_n > \sqrt{t-s}$, $y_n > \sqrt{t-s}$. Then the following estimates are valid:*

$$\left| \frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} D_x^2 \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s) - D_x^2 \Gamma(x,y;t,s) \right| \\ \le C \frac{y_n^{-1}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_0 |x-y|^2}{t-s} \right), \qquad (30)$$

$$\left| \frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} D_x^2 D_y^2 \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s) - D_x^2 D_y^2 \Gamma(x,y;t,s) \right| \\ \le C \frac{y_n^{-1}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_0 |x-y|^2}{t-s} \right) \qquad (31)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} D_x^2 \partial_s \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s) - D_x^2 \partial_s \Gamma(x,y;t,s) \right| \\ \le C \frac{y_n^{-1}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_0 |x-y|^2}{t-s} \right), \qquad (32)$$

where the positive constant σ_0 depends only on ν and C may depend on ν and μ.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove Lemma for $s = 0$. We put

$$\mathbb{G}_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y;t) = \frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,0) - D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma(x,y;t,0)$$

Since the functions $\mathbb{G}_{\alpha,\beta}$ are positively homogeneous with respect to variables x, y and $\sqrt{t}$, it is sufficient to prove Lemma for $t = 1$ and correspondingly for $x_n > 1$ and $y_n > 1$. First, let us prove the estimate

$$|\mathbb{G}_{0,0}(x,y;1)| \le C y_n^{-1} \exp\left(-\widetilde{\sigma} |x-y|^2 \right) \qquad (33)$$

for $x_n > 1/2$ and $y_n > 1/2$. Here $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is a positive constant depending on σ. Let x^0 be arbitrary point with $x_n^0 > 1/2$. By $\zeta = \zeta(\rho)$ denote a smooth function such that $\zeta(\rho) = 1$ for $\rho \le 1/4$ and $\zeta(\rho) = 0$ for $\rho \ge 1/2$. Applying the operator $\mathcal{L}_0$ to the function

$$\phi(x,y,t) = \zeta(|x-x^0|/x_n^0)\mathbb{G}_{0,0}(x,y;t),$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_0\phi(x,y,t) = F_1(x,y,t) + F_2(x,y,t), \tag{34}$$

where

$$F_1 = -2a^{kj}D_{x_j}\Big(\zeta\Big(\frac{|x-x^0|}{x_n^0}\Big)\frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu}\Big)D_{x_k}\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}} - a^{kj}D_{x_k}D_{x_j}\Big(\zeta\Big(\frac{|x-x^0|}{x_n^0}\Big)\frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu}\Big)\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}$$

and

$$F_2 = 2a^{kj}D_{x_j}\zeta\Big(\frac{|x-x^0|}{x_n^0}\Big)D_{x_k}\Gamma + a^{kj}D_{x_k}D_{x_j}\zeta\Big(\frac{|x-x^0|}{x_n^0}\Big)\Gamma.$$

Solving (34), we arrive at

$$\mathbb{G}_{0,0}(x^0,y;1) = \int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x^0,z;1,s)\Big(F_1(z,y,s)+F_2(z,y,s)\Big)dzds. \tag{35}$$

In what follows we'll write x instead of x^0. Taking into account (24) and $x_n > 1/2 > \sqrt{s}/2$, we estimate the first term of the integrand in (35) by

$$C\frac{z_n^\mu y_n^{-\mu}x_n^{-1}}{(1-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}s^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|x-z|^2}{1-s}-\frac{\sigma|z-y|^2}{s}\Big). \tag{36}$$

Similarly, using (8) and (24) the second term can be estimated by

$$C\frac{x_n^{-1}}{(1-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}s^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|x-z|^2}{1-s}-\frac{\sigma|z-y|^2}{s}\Big). \tag{37}$$

Since the integration in (35) is taken over $|z-x| < x_n/2$, we obtain $|\mathbb{G}_{0,0}(x,y;1)|$ is majorized by

$$C\Big(\frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^\mu}+x_n^{-1}\Big)\int_0^1\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|x-z|^2}{1-s}-\frac{\sigma|z-y|^2}{s}\Big)\frac{dzds}{(1-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}s^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}. \tag{38}$$

We observe that the exponent in the right-hand side does not exceed

$$\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{2}-\frac{\sigma|x-z|^2}{2(1-s)}-\frac{\sigma|z-y|^2}{2s}\Big)$$

and split the integral with respect to s into two integrals, one from 0 to 1/2 and another from 1/2 to 1. Using the change of variables $u=(z-y)s^{-1/2}$ in the first integral and $v=(x-z)(1-s)^{-1/2}$ in the second one, we estimate the integral in (38) by $C\exp\big(-\sigma|x-y|^2/2\big)$, that gives the estimate

$$|\mathbb{G}_{0,0}(x,y;1)|\le C\Big(\frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^{\mu}}+x_n^{-1}\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{2}\Big).$$

Using that for any $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$, $a>0$ and $x_n>1/2$, $y_n>1/2$

$$x_n^{\lambda}y_n^{-\lambda}\le C_{\lambda,a}\exp\Big(a|x_n-y_n|^2\Big),\tag{39}$$

we arrive at (33).

Next step includes the following local estimate for solutions to the equation $\mathcal{L}_0u=h$ in $Q_R(x_0,t_0)$:

$$\sup_{Q_{R/2}(x_0,t_0)}|D_xu(x,t)|\le C\big(R^{-1}\sup_{Q_R(x_0,t_0)}|u(x,t)|+R\sup_{Q_R(x_0,t_0)}|h(x,t)|\big).\tag{40}$$

For $R=1$ it follows from the integral representation (7) and estimate (8) for the Green function Γ after rewriting equation for u as equation in the whole space by introducing an appropriate cut-off function. For arbitrary R it is proved by homogeneity arguments. Differentiating the equation with respect x and iteratively using (40), we arrive at

$$\sup_{Q_{R/2^{|\alpha|}}(x_0,t_0)}|D_x^{\alpha}u(x,t)|\le C\Big(R^{-|\alpha|}\sup_{Q_R(x_0,t_0)}|u(x,t)\\ +\sum_{\beta<\alpha}R^{2-|\alpha|+|\beta|}\sup_{Q_R(x_0,t_0)}|D_x^{\beta}h(x,t)|\Big).\tag{41}$$

Applying (41) with $|\alpha|\le 2$, $t_0=1$ and $R=1/4$, to equation $\mathcal{L}_0\mathbb{G}_{0,0}=h$, where

$$h(x,y,t)=-2\mu\frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^{\mu}}a^{jn}D_{x_j}\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,0)-a^{nn}\mu(\mu-1)\frac{x_n^{\mu-2}}{y_n^{\mu}}\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,0)$$

(cf. (34)). This gives

$$|D_x^\alpha \mathbb{G}_{0,0}(x,y;1)| \le C \sup_{Q_{1/4}(x,1)} \big(|\mathbb{G}_{0,0}(\cdot,y;\cdot)| + |h(\cdot,y,\cdot)| + |D_x h(\cdot,y,\cdot)| \big)$$

(the last term must be omitted if $|\alpha|=1$). Using (33) for estimating the first term in the right-hand side and (24) for estimating the other terms, together with homogeneity arguments, we arrive at the estimate

$$|D_x^\alpha \mathbb{G}_{0,0}(x,y;t)| \le C \frac{1}{t^{\frac{n+|\alpha|-1}{2}}} \frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^\mu} \exp\left(-\widetilde{\sigma}_1 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t} \right) \tag{42}$$

for $x_n > 3/4$ and $y_n > 3/4$ with a certain positive $\widetilde{\sigma}_1$ depending on σ. Expressing $\mathbb{G}_{\alpha,0}$ in terms of derivatives of $\mathbb{G}_{0,0}$ and using (39), we arrive at (30).

Let us prove (31). Since the Green function is symmetric with respect to x and y, the estimate

$$|\mathbb{G}_{0,\beta}(x,y;t)| \le C \frac{x_n^{-1}}{t^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\widetilde{\sigma}_1 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t} \right) \tag{43}$$

holds for $|\beta| = 2$, $x_n > 3\sqrt{t}/4$ and $y_n > 3\sqrt{t}/4$. Applying the local estimate (41) with $|\alpha| \le 2$ to the equation $\mathcal{L}_0 \mathbb{G}_{0,\beta} = h_\beta$, where

$$h_\beta(x,y,t) \\ = -2\mu \frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^\mu} a^{kn} D_{x_k} D_y^\beta \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,0) - a^{nn}\mu(\mu-1) \frac{x_n^{\mu-2}}{y_n^\mu} D_y^\beta \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,0),$$

we obtain

$$|D_x^\alpha \mathbb{G}_{0,\beta}(x,y;1)| \le C \sup_{Q_{1/4}(x,1)} \big(|\mathbb{G}_{0,\beta}(\cdot,y;\cdot)| + |h_\beta(\cdot,y,\cdot)| + |D_x h_\beta(\cdot,y,\cdot)| \big)$$

(the last term must be omitted if $|\alpha| = 1$). Using here estimates (43) and (24) together with homogeneity arguments and (39), we arrive at

$$|D_x^\alpha \mathbb{G}_{0,\beta}(x,y;1)| \le C \frac{y_n^{-1}}{t^{\frac{n+|\alpha|+1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\widetilde{\sigma}_2 \frac{|x-y|^2}{t} \right)$$

for $x_n > 1$ and $y_n > 1$, which implies (31). Finally, inequality (32) follows from (31), since the derivative with respect to s can be expressed through the second derivatives with respect to y. The proof is complete. □

For $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the weighted kernels

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) = \frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu}\, D_{x_i} D_{x_j} \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s) - \chi_{\{x_n > \sqrt{t-s}\}}\, D_{x_i} D_{x_j} \Gamma(x,y;t,s).$$

Lemma 6. *The following estimates are valid:*

$$|\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s)| \le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{1-\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_y}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^\mu} + y_n^{-1} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_1 |x-y|^2}{t-s} \right) \qquad (44)$$

and

$$|\partial_s \mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s)| \le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{1-\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_y^{-\varepsilon}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+3}{2}}} \left(\frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^\mu} + y_n^{-1} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_1 |x-y|^2}{t-s} \right) \qquad (45)$$

for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s < t$. Here ε is an arbitrary small positive number, the positive constant σ_1 depends only on ν while C may depend on ν, μ and ε.

Proof. Let $x_n > \sqrt{t-s}$ and $y_n > \sqrt{t-s}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_x \asymp 1$ and $\mathcal{R}_y \asymp 1$, where $\mathcal{R}_x \asymp 1$ means that $\mathcal{R}_x$ is estimated from below and from above by positive constants independent of x, y, t and s. Therefore, (44) and (45) follow from (30) and (32) respectively.

Now let $x_n < \sqrt{t-s}$ and $y_n > 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) = \frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} D_{x_i} D_{x_j} \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s)$$

and (26) implies

$$|\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s)| \le C \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{-\varepsilon} \mathcal{R}_y}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma |x-y|^2}{t-s} \right).$$

Since $x_n/\sqrt{t-s} \le C\mathcal{R}_x$ in this case, the last inequality implies (44). Using the same arguments, we estimate

$$\frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} D_{x_i} D_{x_j} D_y^2 \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s)$$

by the right-hand side in (45). Since the derivative with respect to s can be expressed through the second derivatives with respect to y, we obtain (45).

Finally consider the case $x_n > \sqrt{t-s}$ and $y_n < \sqrt{t-s}$. Using estimates (8) and (26), we have

$$|\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s)| \le C\frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}\Big(\frac{x_n^\mu \mathcal{R}_y}{y_n^\mu}+1\Big)\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right).$$

We observe that $\mathcal{R}_x \asymp 1$ and

$$\frac{x_n^\mu \mathcal{R}_y}{y_n^\mu}+1 \le x_n \mathcal{R}_y\left(\frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^\mu}+2y_n^{-1}\right).$$

Since (39) implies

$$\frac{x_n}{\sqrt{t-s}} \le C_a \exp\left(a\frac{|x_n-\sqrt{t-s}|^2}{t-s}\right) \le C_a \exp\left(a\frac{|x_n-y_n|^2}{t-s}\right)$$

for every positive a, we arrive at (44) with a σ_1 less that σ.

Similar arguments estimate the function

$$\frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} D_{x_i}D_{x_j}D_y^2\Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s) - D_{x_i}D_{x_j}D_y^2\Gamma(x,y;t,s).$$

by the right-hand side in (45). Since the derivative with respect to s can be expressed through the second derivatives with respect to y, we obtain (45). The proof is completed. □

Lemma 7. *Let a function h be supported in the layer $|s-s^0|\le\delta$ and satisfy $\int h(y,s)\ ds \equiv 0$. Also let $p\in(1,\infty)$ and μ be subject to (6). Then the integral operator $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ satisfies*

$$\int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathcal{G}_{ij}h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\ dt \le C\,\|h\|_{p,1},$$

where C does not depend on δ and s^0.

Proof. By $\int h(y,s)\ ds \equiv 0$, we have

$$(\mathcal{G}_{ij}h)(x,t) = \int\limits_0^t\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n_+}\Big(\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s)-\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s^0)\Big)\,h(y,s)\ dy\ ds. \qquad (46)$$

We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$-\frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon < \mu < 2 - \frac{1}{p} - \varepsilon. \tag{47}$$

For $|s - s^0| < \delta$ and $t - s^0 > 2\delta$, estimates (45) and (8) with $|\alpha| = 2$, $|\beta| = 0$ imply

$$\begin{gathered}\left|\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) - \mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s^0)\right| \le \int\limits_{s^0}^{s} |\partial_\tau \mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,\tau)|\, d\tau \\ +|D_{x_i}D_{x_j}\Gamma(x,y;t,t-x_n^2)|\, \chi_{\{x_n^2 \in (t-s, t-s^0)\}} \le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{1-\varepsilon}\mathcal{R}_y^{-\varepsilon}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^\mu} + y_n^{-1}\right) \\ \times \frac{\delta}{t-s} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) + C\, \frac{\chi_{\{x_n^2 \in (t-s, t-s^0)\}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right).\end{gathered}$$

On the other hand, estimate (44) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) - \mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s^0)\right| \\ &\le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{1-\varepsilon}\mathcal{R}_y}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^\mu} + y_n^{-1}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Combination of these estimates gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) - \mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s^0)\right| \\ &\le C\, \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{1-\varepsilon}\mathcal{R}_y^{1-\varepsilon}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(\frac{x_n^{\mu-1}}{y_n^\mu} + y_n^{-1}\right) \left(\frac{\delta}{t-s}\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \\ &+C\, \frac{\chi_{\{x_n^2 \in (t-s, t-s^0)\}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) =: \mathcal{J}_1(x,y,t,s) + \mathcal{J}_2(x,y,t,s).\end{aligned}$$

Applying this inequality for estimating the right-hand side in (46), we obtain

$$\int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathcal{G}_{ij}h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\, dt \le \int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathcal{J}_1 h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\, dt + \int\limits_{|t-s^0|>2\delta} \|(\mathcal{J}_2 h)(\cdot,t)\|_p\, dt.$$

The second term is estimated by $C\|h\|_{p,1}$ in the proof of Theorem 2, Step 3. Further, the first term can be treated by Lemma 10 with $m = 1$, $r = 1$, $\lambda_1 = -\varepsilon$, $\lambda_2 = 1 - \varepsilon$, $\varkappa = \frac{\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$. The inequality (47) becomes (55), and y_n^{-1} corresponds to a particular case $\mu = 1$. Thus, this term is also estimated by $C\|h\|_{p,1}$. $\square$

Now we are in position to prove one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 4. *Let* $p,\ q \in\ (1,\infty)$ *and* μ *be subject to* (6). *Then a solution of* (1) *in* $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ *with zero Dirichlet condition satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} |\!|\!|x_n^\mu \partial_t u|\!|\!|_{p,q} + |\!|\!|x_n^\mu D^2 u|\!|\!|_{p,q} &\le C\ |\!|\!|x_n^\mu f|\!|\!|_{p,q}, \\ \|x_n^\mu \partial_t u\|_{p,q} + \|x_n^\mu D^2 u\|_{p,q} &\le C\ \|x_n^\mu f\|_{p,q}, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where C *depends only on* ν, μ, p *and* q.

Proof. The estimate of the last terms in the left-hand side of (48) is equivalent to the boundedness of integral operators with kernels

$$\mathfrak{G}^{\mathcal{D}}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) = \frac{x_n^\mu}{y_n^\mu} D_{x_i} D_{x_j} \Gamma^{\mathcal{D}}(x,y;t,s)$$

in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R})$, respectively.

First, we consider the case $j \ne n$. The kernel $\mathfrak{G}^{\mathcal{D}}_{ij}(x,y;t,s)$ can be written as

$$\mathfrak{G}^{\mathcal{D}}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) = \mathcal{G}_{ij}(x,y;t,s) + \chi_{\{x_n > \sqrt{t-s}\}}\, D_{x_i} D_{x_j} \Gamma(x,y;t,s).$$

By Theorem 2 the operator corresponding to the second term is bounded both in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ and in $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$ spaces.

Estimate (44) shows that the operator $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemmas 8 and 9 with $m = 1$, $r = 1$, $\lambda_1 = -\varepsilon$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$. (we recall that the term y_n^{-1} corresponds a particular case $\mu = 1$) Therefore, under condition (47) this operator is bounded in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ and in $\widetilde{L}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R})$. Since ε is arbitrarily small, this is true under condition (6). Generalized Riesz–Thorin theorem, see, e.g., [16, 1.18.7], shows that the operator $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ is bounded in $\widetilde{L}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ for any $q \ge p$. For $q < p$ the statement follows by duality arguments.

Further, by Lemma 7, the operator $\mathcal{G}_{ij}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.8 in [2]. Therefore, this operator is bounded in $L_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ for any $q \in\ (1,p]$. For $q > p$ the statement follows by duality arguments.

Finally, to estimate $\partial_t u$ and $D_n D_n u$, we rewrite the equation (1) as

$$\partial_t u - a^{nn} \Delta u = \widetilde{a}^{ij} D_i D_j u + f, \tag{49}$$

where $\widetilde{a}^{ij}(t) = a^{ij}(t) - a^{nn}(t)\delta^{ij}$. After the change of variable $\tau = \int_0^t a^{nn}(s)\,ds$, equation (49) becomes

$$\partial_\tau u - \Delta u = \widetilde{f},$$

where

$$|\!|\!| x_n^\mu \widetilde{f} |\!|\!|_{p,q} \le C\ |\!|\!| x_n^\mu f |\!|\!|_{p,q}, \qquad \| x_n^\mu \widetilde{f} \|_{p,q} \le C\ \| x_n^\mu f \|_{p,q}.$$

Now estimate (48) follows from [11, Theorem 7.6]. □

5 Solvability of linear and quasilinear Dirichlet problems

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$. For a cylinder $Q = \Omega \times (0,T)$, we denote by $\partial' Q = \{\partial \mathit{\Omega} \times (0,T)\} \cup \{\overline{\mathit{\Omega}} \times \{0\}\}$ its parabolic boundary.

We introduce two scales of functional spaces: $\mathbb{L}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$, with norms

$$\boldsymbol{\|} f \boldsymbol{\|}_{p,q,(\mu),Q} = \|(\widehat{d}(x))^\mu f\|_{p,q,Q} = \Big(\int\limits_0^T \Big(\int\limits_\Omega (\widehat{d}(x))^{\mu p} |f(x,t)|^p dx \Big)^{q/p} dt \Big)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{|\!|\!|} f \boldsymbol{|\!|\!|}_{p,q,(\mu),Q} = |\!|\!|(\widehat{d}(x))^\mu f|\!|\!|_{p,q,Q} = \Big(\int\limits_\Omega \Big(\int\limits_0^T (\widehat{d}(x))^{\mu q} |f(x,t)|^q dt \Big)^{p/q} dx \Big)^{1/p}$$

respectively, where $\widehat{d}(x)$ stands for the distance from $x \in \Omega$ to $\partial\Omega$. For $p = q$ these spaces coincide, and we write $\mathbb{L}_{p,(\mu)}(Q)$.

We denote by $\mathbb{W}^{2,1}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^{2,1}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$ the set of functions with the finite seminorms

$$\boldsymbol{\|} \partial_t u \boldsymbol{\|}_{p,q,(\mu),Q} + \sum_{ij} \boldsymbol{\|} D_i D_j u \boldsymbol{\|}_{p,q,(\mu),Q}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{|\!|\!|} \partial_t u \boldsymbol{|\!|\!|}_{p,q,(\mu),Q} + \sum_{ij} \boldsymbol{|\!|\!|} D_i D_j u \boldsymbol{|\!|\!|}_{p,q,(\mu),Q}$$

respectively. These seminorms become norms on the subspaces defined by $u|_{\partial' Q} = 0$.

We say $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{W}^2_{p,(\mu)}$ if for any point $x^0 \in \partial\Omega$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ and a diffeomorphism Ψ mapping $\mathcal{U}\cap\Omega$ onto the half-ball B_1^+ and satisfying

$$(\widehat{d}(x))^\mu D^2\Psi \in L_p(\mathcal{U}\cap\Omega); \qquad x_n^\mu D^2\Psi^{-1} \in L_p(B_1^+),$$

where corresponding norms are uniformly bounded with respect to x^0.

It is well known (see, e.g., [10] and [7, Lemma 2.6]) that if $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\delta}$, $\delta \in [0,1]$, then $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{W}^2_{\infty,(1-\delta)}$. Moreover, in this case corresponding diffeomorphisms $\Psi, \Psi^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\delta}$. Here $\mathcal{C}^{1,0}$ stads for C^1.

We set $\widehat{\mu}(p,q) = 1 - \frac{n}{p} - \frac{2}{q}$.

5.1 Linear Dirichlet problem in bounded domains

We consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$\mathcal{L}u \equiv \partial_t u - a^{ij}(x,t)D_iD_ju + b^i(x,t)D_iu = f(x,t) \ \text{ in } \ Q, \qquad u|_{\partial' Q} = 0, \tag{50}$$

where the leading coefficients $a^{ij} \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega} \to L^\infty(0,T))$ satisfy assumptions $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$ and (2).

Theorem 5. *Let* $1 < p, q < \infty$ *and* $\mu \in \big(-\frac{1}{p}, 2 - \frac{1}{p}\big)$.

1. *Let* $b^i \in \mathbb{L}_{\overline{p},\overline{q},(\overline{\mu})}(Q) + \mathbb{L}_{\infty,(\overline{\overline{\mu}})}(Q)$, *where* $\overline{p}$ *and* $\overline{q}$ *are subject to*

$$\overline{p} \ge p; \quad \left[\begin{array}{ll} \overline{q} = q; & \widehat{\mu}(\overline{p},\overline{q}) > 0 \\ q < \overline{q} < \infty; & \widehat{\mu}(\overline{p},\overline{q}) = 0 \end{array}\right. ,$$

while $\overline{\mu}$ *and* $\overline{\overline{\mu}}$ *satisfy*

$$\overline{\mu} = \min\{\mu, \max\{\widehat{\mu}(p,q), 0\}\}; \qquad \overline{\overline{\mu}} \le 1, \quad \overline{\overline{\mu}} < \mu + \tfrac{1}{p}. \tag{51}$$

Suppose also that either $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{W}^2_{\infty,(\overline{\overline{\mu}})}$ *(in the case* $\overline{\overline{\mu}} = 1$ *this assumption must be replaced by* $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^1$*) or* $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{W}^2_{\overline{p},(\overline{\mu})}$. *Then, for any* $f \in \mathbb{L}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$, *the initial-boundary value problem* (50) *has a unique solution* $u \in \mathbb{W}^{2,1}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$. *Moreover, this solution satisfies*

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{p,q,(\mu)} + \sum_{ij} \|D_iD_ju\|_{p,q,(\mu)} \le C\|f\|_{p,q,(\mu)},$$

where the positive constant C *does not depend on* f.

2. *Let* $b^i \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{\overline{p},\overline{q},(\overline{\mu})}(Q) + \mathbb{L}_{\infty,(\overline{\overline{\mu}})}(Q)$, *where* $\overline{p}$ *and* $\overline{q}$ *are subject to*

$$\overline{q} \ge q; \qquad \left[\begin{array}{ll} \overline{p} = p; & \widehat{\mu}(\overline{p},\overline{q}) > 0 \\ p < \overline{p} < \infty; & \widehat{\mu}(\overline{p},\overline{q}) = 0 \end{array} \right. ,$$

while $\overline{\mu}$ *and* $\overline{\overline{\mu}}$ *satisfy (51). Suppose also that* $\partial\Omega$ *satisfies the same conditions as in the part* **1**. *Then, for any* $f \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$, *the initial-boundary value problem* (50) *has a unique solution* $u \in \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^{2,1}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$. *Moreover, this solution satisfies*

$$|||\partial_t u|||_{p,q,(\mu)} + \sum_{ij} |||D_i D_j u|||_{p,q,(\mu)} \le C|||f|||_{p,q,(\mu)},$$

where the positive constant C *does not depend on* f.

Remark 1. *These assertions generalize* [11, Theorem 4.2] *and* [7, Theorem 2.10].

Proof. The standard scheme, see [8, Ch.IV, §9], including partition of unity, local rectifying of $\partial\Omega$ and coefficients freezing, reduces the proof to the coercive estimates for the model problems to equation (1) in the whole space and in the half-space. These estimates are obtained in [4, Theorem 1.1] and our Theorems 1 and 4. By the Hölder inequality and the embedding theorems (see, e.g., [2, Theorems 10.1 and 10.4]), the assumptions on b^i guarantee that the lower-order terms in (50) belong to desired weighted spaces, $\mathbb{L}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$, respectively. By the same reasons, the requirements on $\partial\Omega$ imply $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{C}^1$ and ensure the invariance of assumptions on b^i under rectifying of the boundary. $\square$

5.2 Quasilinear Dirichlet problem in bounded domains

In this subsection, we consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$\partial_t u - a^{ij}(x,t,u,Du) D_i D_j u + a(x,t,u,Du) = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \ Q, \qquad u|_{\partial' Q} = 0. \quad (52)$$

We suppose that the first derivatives of the coefficients $a^{ij}(x,t,z,\mathfrak{p})$ with respect to x, z and $\mathfrak{p}$ are locally bounnded and the following inequalities hold for all $(x;t) \in Q$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with some positive ν and ν_1:

$$\begin{gathered}
\nu|\xi|^2 \leqslant a^{ij}(x,t,z,\mathfrak{p})\xi_i\xi_j \leqslant \nu^{-1}|\xi|^2 \qquad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,\\
|a(x,t,z,\mathfrak{p})| \leqslant \nu_1|\mathfrak{p}|^2 + b(x,t)|\mathfrak{p}| + \Phi(x,t),\\
\left|\frac{\partial a^{ij}(x,t,z,\mathfrak{p})}{\partial \mathfrak{p}}\right| \leqslant \frac{\nu_1}{1+|\mathfrak{p}|},\\
\left|\mathfrak{p}\cdot\frac{\partial a^{ij}(x,t,z,\mathfrak{p})}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial a^{ij}(x,t,z,\mathfrak{p})}{\partial x}\right| \le \nu_1|\mathfrak{p}| + \Phi_1(x,t).
\end{gathered} \tag{53}$$

Theorem 6. 1. *Let the following assumptions be satisfied:*

(i) $1 < q \leqslant p < \infty$, $\widehat{\mu}(p,q) > 0$, $-1/p < \mu < \widehat{\mu}(p,q)$, $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{W}^2_{p,(\mu)}$;

(ii) *functions* a^{ij} *and* a *satisfy the structure conditions* (53)*;*

(iii) $b, \Phi \in \mathbb{L}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$;

(iv) $\Phi_1 \in \mathbb{L}_{p_1,q_1,(\mu_1)}(Q)$, $\quad q_1 \leqslant p_1 < \infty$, $\widehat{\mu}(p_1,q_1) > \max\{\mu_1, 0\}$;

(v) $a(\cdot, z, \mathfrak{p})$ *is continuous w.r.t.* $(z,\mathfrak{p})$ *in the norm* $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,(\mu),Q}$.

Then the problem (52) *has a solution* $u \in \mathbb{W}^{2,1}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$.

2. *Let the following assumptions be satisfied:*

(i) $1 < p \leqslant q < \infty$, $\widehat{\mu}(p,q) > 0$, $-1/p < \mu < \widehat{\mu}(p,q)$, $\partial\Omega \in \mathcal{W}^2_{p,(\mu)}$;

(ii) *functions* a^{ij} *and* a *satisfy the structure conditions* (53)*;*

(iii) $b, \Phi \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$;

(iv) $\Phi_1 \in \widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{p_1,q_1,(\mu_1)}(Q)$, $\quad p_1 \leqslant q_1 < \infty$, $\widehat{\mu}(p_1,q_1) > \max\{\mu_1, 0\}$;

(v) $a(\cdot, z, \mathfrak{p})$ *is continuous w.r.t.* $(z,\mathfrak{p})$ *in the norm* $|||\cdot|||_{p,q,(\mu),Q}$.

Then the problem (52) *has a solution* $u \in \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^{2,1}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$.

Proof. The proof by the Leray–Schauder principle is also rather standard, see, [8, Ch.V, §6]. In the case when the leading coefficients are continuous in t, these assertions were proved in [11, Theorem 4.3]. Corresponding a priori estimates in [11], see also [9] and [1], do not require continuity of a^{ij} with respect to t, while the solvability of the corresponding linear problem follows from Theorem 5. ☐

Note that in Theorem 6 for $p > q$ we deal with $\mathbb{L}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$ scale while for $p < q$ we deal with $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}_{p,q,(\mu)}(Q)$ scale. The reason is that all the a priori estimates for quasilinear equations are based on the Aleksandrov–Krylov maximum principle. Up to now this statement is proved only if the right-hand side of the equation belongs to the space with stronger norm, see [12].

6 Appendix. Estimates of some integral operators

In this section we denote $x = (x', x'')$ where $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$, $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $1 \le m \le n$. Also we use the notation

$$R_x = \frac{|x''|}{|x''| + \sqrt{t-s}}; \quad R_y = \frac{|y''|}{|y''| + \sqrt{t-s}}.$$

The following two lemmas are generalizations of [11, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2], where they are proved for $r = 2$.

Lemma 8. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and let the kernel $\mathcal{K}(x, y, t, s)$ satisfy for $t > s$ the inequality*

$$|\mathcal{K}(x,y;t,s)| \le C \, \frac{R_x^{\lambda_1 + r} R_y^{\lambda_2}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}} \, \frac{|x''|^{\mu - r}}{|y''|^{\mu}} \, \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma |x-y|^2}{t-s}\right), \tag{54}$$

where $\sigma > 0$, $0 < r \le 2$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > -m$,

$$-\frac{m}{p} - \lambda_1 < \mu < m - \frac{m}{p} + \lambda_2. \tag{55}$$

Then the integral operator $\mathcal{K}$, corresponding to the kernel (54)*, is bounded in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. By (55) there exist numbers γ_1 and γ_2 such that

$$-\frac{m}{p} < \gamma_1 < \lambda_1 + \mu, \qquad 0 < \gamma_2 < \frac{m}{p'} + \lambda_2 - \mu \, . \tag{56}$$

Let $h \in L_p$. Applying (54) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$|(\mathcal{K}h)(x,t)| \le C \Bigg(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{|h(y,s)|^p \; R_x^{\gamma_1 p+r}\, R_y^{\gamma_2 p}}{|x''|^{(r-\mu)p}(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}}\; dyds\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \Bigg(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{(\lambda_1-\gamma_1)p'+r}\mathcal{R}_y^{(\lambda_2-\gamma_2)p'}}{|y''|^{\mu p'}(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}}\; dyds\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \quad (57)$$

Let us denote by I_3 the last integral over $(-\infty,t)\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Using the change of variable $y = x - z\sqrt{t-s}$ in I_3 and, in the case $m<n$, integrating there with respect to z' after straightforward calculations we obtain

$$I_3 = \int\limits_{0}^{t} \frac{R_x^{(\lambda_1-\gamma_1)p'+r}}{(t-s)^{1-r/2}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\exp(-\sigma|z''|^2)|x''-z''\sqrt{t-s}|^{(\lambda_2-\gamma_2-\mu)p'}\; dz''}{\big(|x''-z''\sqrt{t-s}|+\sqrt{t-s}\big)^{(\lambda_2-\gamma_2)p'}}\; ds.$$

By (56) the integral over $\mathbb{R}^m$ is absolutely convergent and it is estimated by $C(|x''|+\sqrt{t-s})^{-\mu p'}$. Therefore,

$$I_3 \le C \int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{|x''|^{(\lambda_1-\gamma_1)p'+r}\; ds}{(|x''|+\sqrt{t-s})^{(\lambda_1+\mu-\gamma_1)p'+r}(t-s)^{1-r/2}} \le C\,|x''|^{r-\mu p'}. \qquad (58)$$

We used here that the integral is absolutely convergent, since $r>0$ and $\lambda_1+\mu-\gamma_1>0$ by (56). Applying this inequality for estimating the right-hand side in (57), we obtain

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\mathcal{K}h)(x,t)|^p\; dxdt \le C \int\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(y,s)|^p\; dyds$$

$$\times \sup_{y,s} \int\limits_{s}^{\infty} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_x^{\gamma_1 p+r} R_y^{\gamma_2 p}}{|x''|^{r}(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}}\; dxdt.$$

Denote by I_4 the last integral over $(s,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Using the change of variable $y = x - z\sqrt{t-s}$ in I_4 and, in the case $m<n$, integrating there with respect to z', we obtain

$$I_4 = \int\limits_{s}^{\infty} \frac{R_y^{\gamma_2 p}}{(t-s)^{1-r/2}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\exp(-\sigma|z''|^2)|y''-z''\sqrt{t-s}|^{\gamma_1 p}\; dz''}{\big(|y''-z''\sqrt{t-s}|+\sqrt{t-s}\big)^{\gamma_1 p+r}}\; dt.$$

By (56), the integral over $\mathbb{R}^m$ is absolutely convergent and it is estimated by $C(|y'|+\sqrt{t-s})^{-r}$. Therefore,

$$I_4 \le C \int\limits_s^\infty \frac{|y''|^{\gamma_2 p}\ dt}{(|y''|+\sqrt{t-s})^{\gamma_2 p+r}(t-s)^{1-r/2}} \le C.$$

This completes the proof. ☐

Remark 2. . Lemma 8 *is also true in the case* $p=1$ *or* $p=\infty$*. The proof repeats with evident changes the proof presented above.*

Lemma 9. *Under assumptions of Lemma 8, the operator* $\mathcal{K}$ *is bounded in* $\widetilde{L}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R})$*.*

Proof. Let $h \in \widetilde{L}_{p,\infty}$ and let γ_1 and γ_2 satisfy (56). Using (54) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{K}h)(x,t)| \ &\le\ C \Bigg(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \sup_s |h(y,s)|^p \\ &\times\ \frac{R_x^{\gamma_1 p+r}\ R_y^{\gamma_2 p}}{|x''|^{(r-\mu)p}(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}}\ dyds\Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot I_3^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \end{aligned}$$

where I_3 is the same as in the previous lemma. Applying estimate (58), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_t |(\mathcal{K}h)(x,t)|^p\ dx \le C \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sup_s |h(y,s)|^p\ dy \\ &\times\ \sup_y \int\limits_0^\infty \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{\tau}\right) \frac{|x''|^{\gamma_1 p}|y''|^{\gamma_2 p}\ dx d\tau}{(|x''|+\sqrt{\tau})^{\gamma_1 p+r}\ (|y''|+\sqrt{\tau})^{\gamma_2 p}\ \tau^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is estimated in the same way as I_4 from the previous lemma. Therefore, it is bounded uniformly w.r.t. y, and the statement follows. ☐

The next lemma is a generalization of [11, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 10. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $\sigma > 0$, $\varkappa > 0$, $0 \le r \le 2$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > -m$ *and let* μ *be subject to* (55). *Also let the kernel* $\mathcal{K}(x, y, t, s)$ *satisfy the inequality*

$$|\mathcal{K}(x, y, t, s)| \le C \, \frac{R_x^{\lambda_1+r} \mathcal{R}_y^{\lambda_2}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2-r}{2}}} \, \frac{|x''|^{\mu-r}}{|y''|^{\mu}} \left(\frac{\delta}{t-s}\right)^{\varkappa} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right), \quad (59)$$

for $t > s + \delta$. *Then for any* $s^0 > 0$ *the norm of the operator*

$$\mathcal{K} \ : \ L_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \ (s^0 - \delta, s^0 + \delta)) \ \to \ L_{p,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \ (s^0 + 2\delta, \infty))$$

does not exceed a constant C *independent of* δ *and* s^0.

Proof. Let $h \in L_{p,1}$ be supported in the layer $|s - s^0| \le \delta$. Using (59) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{K}h)(x,t)| \ &\le \ C \int\limits_0^t \frac{\delta^{\varkappa} ds}{(t-s)^{\varkappa+1-r/2}} \\ &\times \ \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{|x''|^{(\mu-r)p} R_x^{(\lambda_1+r)p} \ |h(y,s)|^p}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\times \ \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_y^{\lambda_2 p'}}{|y''|^{\mu p'} (t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Denote by I_5 the integral in the last large brackets. Using the change of variable $y = x - z\sqrt{t-s}$ and, in the case $m < n$, integrating with respect to z', we obtain

$$I_5 = C \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\exp\left(-\sigma|z''|^2\right) |x'' - z''\sqrt{t-s}|^{(\lambda_2-\mu)p'} \ dz''}{\left(|x'' - z''\sqrt{t-s}| + \sqrt{t-s}\right)^{\lambda_2 p'}} \le C \left(|x''| + \sqrt{t-s}\right)^{-\mu p'}.$$

From this estimate and (60), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int\limits_{s^0+2\delta}^{\infty} \|(\mathcal{K}h)(\cdot,t)\|_p \ dt \le C \int\limits_{s^0+2\delta}^{\infty} \Bigg(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Bigg(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{t} \Bigg(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \\ \times \quad \frac{|x''|^{(\lambda_1+\mu)p} |h(y,s)|^p \ dy}{\left(|x''| + \sqrt{t-s}\right)^{(\lambda_1+\mu+r)p} \ (t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\delta^{\varkappa} \ ds}{(t-s)^{\varkappa+1-r/2}} \Bigg)^p dx \Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \end{aligned}$$

Using Minkowski inequality, we estimate the right-hand side by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C \int\limits_{s^0+2\delta}^{\infty} \int\limits_{s^0-\delta}^{s^0+\delta} \frac{\delta^{\varkappa}\, ds dt}{(t-s)^{\varkappa+1-r/2}} \Bigg(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \\
\times \quad & \frac{|x''|^{(\lambda_1+\mu)p} |h(y,s)|^p \, dy dx}{\big(|x''| + \sqrt{t-s}\big)^{(\lambda_1+\mu+r)p} \, (t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \Bigg)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq \quad & C \int\limits_{s^0-\delta}^{s^0+\delta} \|h(\cdot, s)\|_p \, ds \int\limits_{s^0+2\delta}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{\varkappa} dt}{(t-s)^{\varkappa+1-r/2}} \cdot \sup_y I_6^{\frac{1}{p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
I_6 = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) \frac{|x''|^{(\lambda_1+\mu)p} \, dx}{\big(|x''| + \sqrt{t-s}\big)^{(\lambda_1+\mu+r)p} \, (t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}}.
$$

In order to estimate I_6, we apply the change of variables $x = z\sqrt{t-s}$ and $y = w\sqrt{t-s}$ and, in the case $m < n$, integrate with respect to z'. This leads to

$$
I_6 = \frac{C}{(t-s)^{rp/2}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\exp\left(-\sigma|z''-w''|^2\right) \; |z''|^{(\lambda_1+\mu)p} \, dz''}{(|z''|+1)^{(\lambda_1+\mu+r)p}} \leq C\,(t-s)^{-rp/2}.
$$

Thus,

$$
\int\limits_{s^0+2\delta}^{\infty} \|(\mathcal{K}h)(\cdot,t)\|_p \, dt \leq C\,\|h\|_{p,1} \sup_{|s-s^0|<\delta} \int\limits_{s^0+2\delta}^{\infty} \frac{\delta^{\varkappa} dt}{(t-s)^{1+\varkappa}} \leq C\,\|h\|_{p,1},
$$

which completes the proof. □

Acknowledgements. V. K. was supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR). A. N. was supported by grants NSh.227.2008.1 and RFBR 09-01-00729. He also acknowledges the Linköping University for the financial support of his visit in May 2008.

References

[1] Apushkinskaya, D.E.; Nazarov, A.I., *The elliptic Dirichlet problem in weighted spaces*, ZNS POMI, **288** (2002), 14-33 (Russian); English transl.: J. Math. Sci., **123** (2004), N6, 4527-4538.

[2] Besov, O.V.; Il'in, V.P.; Nikol'skii, S.M., *Integral representations of functions and imbedding theorems*, ed.2, Moscow, Nauka, 1996 (Russian); English transl. of the 1st ed.: Vol. 1. Scripta Series in Mathematics. Edited by Mitchell H. Taibleson. V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C.; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1978.

[3] Krylov, N.V., *The heat equation in $L_q((0,T),L_p)$-spaces with weights*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **32** (2001), N5, 1117–1141.

[4] Krylov, N.V. *The Calderón-Zygmund theorem and its applications to parabolic equations*, Alg. Anal. **13** (2001), N4, 1-25 (Russian); English transl.: St. Petersburg Math. J. **13** (2002), N4, 509-526.

[5] Kim, Doyoon, *Parabolic equations with measurable coefficients. II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **334** (2007), N1, 534–548.

[6] Kim, Doyoon; Krylov, N.V. *Parabolic equations with measurable coefficients*, Potential Anal. **26** (2007), N4, 345–361.

[7] Kim, Kyeong-Hun; Krylov, N.V. *On the Sobolev space theory of parabolic and elliptic equations in C^1 domains*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 36 (2004), N2, 618–642.

[8] Ladyzhenskaja, O.A.; Solonnikov, V.A.; Ural'tseva, N.N., *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*, Moscow, Nauka, 1968 (Russian); English transl.: Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23. AMS, Providence, R.I., 1967.

[9] Ladyzhenskaya, O.A.; Uraltseva, N.N., *A survey of results on the solvability of boundary value problems for uniformly elliptic and parabolic second order quasilinear equations having unbounded singularities*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **41** (1986), N5, 59-83 (Russian); English transl.: Russian Math. Surveys, **41** (1986), N5, 1-31.

[10] Lieberman, G., *Regularized distance and its applications*, Pacific J. Math., **117** (1985), 329-352.

[11] Nazarov, A.I., *L_p-estimates for a solution to the Dirichlet problem and to the Neumann problem for the heat equation in a wedge with edge of arbitrary codimension*, Probl. Mat. Anal., **22** (2001), 126-159 (Russian); English transl.: J. Math. Sci., **106** (2001), N3, 2989-3014.

[12] Nazarov, A.I., *Estimates for the maximum of solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations in terms of weighted norms of the right-hand side*, Alg. Anal. **13** (2001), N2, 151-164 (Russian); English transl.: St.Petersburg Math. J. **13** (2002), no. 2, 269-279.

[13] Solonnikov, V. A., *Solvability of classical initial-boundary value problems for the heat equation in a two-sided corner*, ZNS LOMI, **138** (1984), 146-180 (Russian); English transl.: J. Sov. Math., **32** (1986), N5.

[14] Solonnikov, V.A., *L_p-estimates for solutions of the heat equation in a dihedral angle*, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) **21** (2001), N1-4, 1-15.

[15] Stein, E.M., *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality and singular integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, NJ, 1993.

[16] Triebel, H., *Interpolation theory, Function spaces, differential operators*, DVW, Berlin, 1977.